

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,866	08/20/2003	Leigh T. Canham	2490-30	5193
23117 7590 01/31/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			EXAMINER	
			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	 _
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,866	CANHAM, LEIGH T.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

	James II. Alstrum-Acevedo	1010
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		ETINOT REFET WAS TIEED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will will will will will will be used to be u	ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>46-48</u> .	•	
Claim(s) rejected. 40-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	A
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	toolo Rich	
	THAT PLENTER	,00
	WISORY PATENT EXAMINED	

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendments were entered because these were deemed to simplify matters for appeal and these amendments do not introduce any new matter. Claims 46-48 are now pending in the instant application. However, Applicant's claim amendments remain insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 46-48 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, because Applicant's specification lacks adequate written description for the step of implanting a sample of resorbable porous silicon into a living animal or human, as was explained in the office action mailed March 6, 2007. Applicant's submission of a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent No. 6,666,214 (USPN '214) is noted, but because this terminal disclaimer has not yet been approved the obviousness-type double patenting (ODP) rejection of claims 46 and 48 over USPN '214 is maintained at this time. The provisional ODP rejection over copending application 11/159,340 (copending '340) is maintained because the instant application is not in condition for allowance and Applicant has not provided any substantive arguments traversing this rejection or submitted a terminal disclaimer that has been approved.